



ORE RESEARCH & EXPLORATION P/L ABN 28 006 859 856
37A Hosie Street · Bayswater North · VIC 3153 · AUSTRALIA
☎ 61 3 9729 0333 ☎ 61 3 9729 8338
📧 info@ore.com.au 🌐 www.ore.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS FOR

COPPER-GOLD-SILVER CONCENTRATE

(Rosebery metallurgical plant, North-West region of Tasmania, Australia)

CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIAL

OREAS 990b


Table 1. Certified Values and Performance Gates for OREAS 990b.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Umpire Labs (dry sample basis)											
Classical Wet Chemistry											
Cu, wt. %	16.37	0.115	16.14	16.60	16.02	16.72	0.71%	1.41%	2.12%	15.55	17.19
Pb Fire Assay (Grav)											
Ag, ppm	6741	65	6612	6870	6547	6934	0.96%	1.91%	2.87%	6404	7078
Au, ppm	63.67	0.891	61.89	65.45	61.00	66.34	1.40%	2.80%	4.20%	60.49	66.86

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million) \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb (parts per billion).

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.



Document: COA-1416-OREAS990b-R0

(Template: BUP-70-10-01 Rev:2.0)

3-Sep-2020

Table 1 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Geoanalytical Labs ('as received' sample basis)											
Peroxide Fusion ICP											
Al, wt. %	0.576	0.010	0.556	0.597	0.546	0.607	1.74%	3.48%	5.21%	0.548	0.605
As, ppm	7797	514	6768	8826	6254	9341	6.60%	13.20%	19.79%	7407	8187
Be, ppm	< 1	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
Bi, ppm	49.7	2.79	44.1	55.2	41.3	58.0	5.61%	11.22%	16.83%	47.2	52.1
Ca, wt. %	0.166	0.022	0.122	0.210	0.100	0.232	13.26%	26.51%	39.77%	0.158	0.174
Cd, ppm	580	33	514	646	481	679	5.71%	11.41%	17.12%	551	609
Ce, ppm	12.8	0.57	11.6	13.9	11.1	14.5	4.48%	8.96%	13.44%	12.2	13.4
Cr, ppm	< 100	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
Cs, ppm	1.34	0.120	1.10	1.58	0.98	1.71	8.95%	17.89%	26.84%	1.28	1.41
Cu, wt. %	16.46	0.575	15.31	17.61	14.74	18.18	3.49%	6.98%	10.48%	15.64	17.28
Dy, ppm	0.93	0.069	0.79	1.07	0.72	1.13	7.45%	14.90%	22.35%	0.88	0.97
Er, ppm	0.47	0.06	0.34	0.60	0.27	0.66	13.82%	27.63%	41.45%	0.45	0.49
Eu, ppm	0.64	0.13	0.39	0.90	0.26	1.03	19.86%	39.72%	59.58%	0.61	0.68
Fe, wt. %	14.78	0.404	13.97	15.58	13.56	15.99	2.74%	5.47%	8.21%	14.04	15.51
Ga, ppm	5.03	0.411	4.21	5.85	3.80	6.26	8.17%	16.35%	24.52%	4.78	5.28
In, ppm	8.04	0.683	6.67	9.41	5.99	10.09	8.49%	16.99%	25.48%	7.64	8.44
K, wt. %	0.207	0.016	0.176	0.238	0.160	0.253	7.52%	15.04%	22.56%	0.196	0.217
La, ppm	7.25	0.339	6.57	7.93	6.24	8.27	4.67%	9.34%	14.00%	6.89	7.61
Mg, wt. %	0.128	0.008	0.112	0.145	0.103	0.154	6.55%	13.11%	19.66%	0.122	0.135
Mn, wt. %	0.231	0.009	0.213	0.248	0.205	0.256	3.74%	7.47%	11.21%	0.219	0.242
Mo, ppm	139	10	120	159	110	169	6.97%	13.94%	20.91%	132	146
Nd, ppm	5.61	0.350	4.91	6.31	4.56	6.66	6.24%	12.47%	18.71%	5.33	5.89
Ni, ppm	< 50	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
P, wt. %	< 0.01	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
Pb, wt. %	8.32	0.186	7.95	8.69	7.76	8.88	2.23%	4.47%	6.70%	7.90	8.73
Pr, ppm	1.48	0.087	1.31	1.65	1.22	1.74	5.88%	11.76%	17.64%	1.41	1.55
Rb, ppm	14.5	0.80	12.9	16.1	12.1	16.9	5.50%	11.01%	16.51%	13.8	15.2
S, wt. %	29.11	0.549	28.01	30.21	27.47	30.76	1.89%	3.77%	5.66%	27.66	30.57
Sb, wt. %	2.00	0.152	1.69	2.30	1.54	2.45	7.60%	15.20%	22.80%	1.90	2.10
Se, ppm	< 20	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
Si, wt. %	1.74	0.052	1.63	1.84	1.58	1.89	3.00%	6.00%	9.00%	1.65	1.83
Sn, ppm	7.75	1.22	5.30	10.19	4.08	11.42	15.80%	31.59%	47.39%	7.36	8.13
Sr, ppm	133	10	113	152	104	162	7.35%	14.69%	22.04%	126	139
Te, ppm	< 1	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
Th, ppm	3.00	0.130	2.74	3.26	2.61	3.39	4.35%	8.69%	13.04%	2.85	3.15
Ti, wt. %	0.029	0.003	0.022	0.036	0.019	0.039	11.60%	23.20%	34.79%	0.028	0.031
Tl, ppm	7.28	0.339	6.60	7.96	6.26	8.30	4.65%	9.31%	13.96%	6.92	7.64
U, ppm	3.48	0.149	3.18	3.77	3.03	3.92	4.28%	8.56%	12.84%	3.30	3.65
W, ppm	6.18	1.50	3.17	9.18	1.67	10.69	24.34%	48.68%	73.03%	5.87	6.49

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million) \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb (parts per billion).

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 1 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Peroxide Fusion ICP continued											
Y, ppm	4.65	0.385	3.88	5.42	3.49	5.80	8.29%	16.58%	24.87%	4.42	4.88
Zn, wt.%	19.81	0.569	18.67	20.95	18.10	21.52	2.87%	5.75%	8.62%	18.82	20.80
4-Acid Digestion											
Al, wt. %	0.558	0.027	0.505	0.612	0.478	0.638	4.78%	9.56%	14.33%	0.530	0.586
As, ppm	7663	502	6659	8667	6157	9170	6.55%	13.11%	19.66%	7280	8046
Be, ppm	0.22	0.04	0.14	0.31	0.10	0.35	18.65%	37.31%	55.96%	0.21	0.23
Bi, ppm	50	1.5	47	53	46	54	2.98%	5.96%	8.95%	48	53
Ca, wt. %	0.165	0.008	0.148	0.181	0.140	0.190	5.07%	10.14%	15.22%	0.156	0.173
Cd, ppm	570	21	529	611	508	632	3.60%	7.21%	10.81%	542	599
Co, ppm	2.67	0.160	2.35	2.99	2.19	3.15	5.99%	11.97%	17.96%	2.54	2.80
Cs, ppm	1.19	0.101	0.98	1.39	0.88	1.49	8.54%	17.08%	25.62%	1.13	1.25
Cu, wt. %	16.71	0.497	15.72	17.71	15.22	18.20	2.98%	5.95%	8.93%	15.88	17.55
Dy, ppm	0.73	0.09	0.55	0.91	0.46	1.00	12.31%	24.63%	36.94%	0.69	0.76
Er, ppm	0.39	0.06	0.27	0.52	0.20	0.58	16.11%	32.21%	48.32%	0.37	0.41
Eu, ppm	0.56	0.13	0.30	0.81	0.18	0.93	22.68%	45.37%	68.05%	0.53	0.58
Fe, wt. %	14.45	0.305	13.84	15.06	13.53	15.36	2.11%	4.23%	6.34%	13.72	15.17
Ga, ppm	4.75	0.466	3.82	5.68	3.35	6.14	9.81%	19.62%	29.44%	4.51	4.98
Gd, ppm	0.90	0.12	0.65	1.14	0.52	1.27	13.88%	27.76%	41.64%	0.85	0.94
Hf, ppm	0.62	0.06	0.49	0.75	0.43	0.81	10.34%	20.69%	31.03%	0.59	0.65
In, ppm	7.68	0.273	7.14	8.23	6.86	8.50	3.55%	7.11%	10.66%	7.30	8.07
K, wt. %	0.216	0.009	0.197	0.235	0.188	0.245	4.35%	8.70%	13.05%	0.205	0.227
Li, ppm	2.19	0.26	1.66	2.71	1.40	2.98	11.99%	23.97%	35.96%	2.08	2.30
Mg, wt. %	0.124	0.006	0.113	0.136	0.107	0.142	4.73%	9.45%	14.18%	0.118	0.131
Mn, wt. %	0.228	0.008	0.213	0.243	0.205	0.251	3.34%	6.67%	10.01%	0.216	0.239
Mo, ppm	131	9	112	149	103	159	7.11%	14.23%	21.34%	124	137
Na, wt. %	0.031	0.003	0.025	0.038	0.021	0.041	10.52%	21.03%	31.55%	0.030	0.033
Nb, ppm	0.89	0.14	0.62	1.17	0.48	1.31	15.53%	31.07%	46.60%	0.85	0.94
Nd, ppm	4.49	0.72	3.06	5.92	2.34	6.63	15.94%	31.89%	47.83%	4.26	4.71
Ni, ppm	6.11	0.84	4.42	7.80	3.58	8.64	13.82%	27.65%	41.47%	5.80	6.42
Pb, wt. %	8.45	0.155	8.14	8.76	7.98	8.91	1.84%	3.67%	5.51%	8.03	8.87
Pr, ppm	1.08	0.26	0.57	1.59	0.31	1.85	23.78%	47.56%	71.34%	1.02	1.13
Rb, ppm	13.9	0.85	12.2	15.6	11.4	16.5	6.08%	12.16%	18.24%	13.2	14.6
Re, ppm	0.006	0.001	0.004	0.009	0.002	0.010	21.89%	43.77%	65.66%	0.006	0.007
Sb, wt. %	1.89	0.095	1.70	2.08	1.60	2.17	5.02%	10.04%	15.07%	1.79	1.98
Sc, ppm	0.86	0.10	0.67	1.06	0.57	1.15	11.17%	22.34%	33.51%	0.82	0.91
Sm, ppm	1.10	0.13	0.84	1.37	0.71	1.50	12.00%	24.00%	36.00%	1.05	1.16
Sn, ppm	5.51	0.377	4.76	6.27	4.38	6.64	6.84%	13.68%	20.51%	5.24	5.79
Tb, ppm	0.12	0.03	0.07	0.18	0.04	0.21	23.12%	46.24%	69.35%	0.12	0.13
Te, ppm	< 0.5	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
Ti, wt. %	0.024	0.003	0.017	0.031	0.013	0.034	14.52%	29.03%	43.55%	0.023	0.025

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million) \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb (parts per billion).

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 1 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued											
Tl, ppm	7.32	0.262	6.80	7.85	6.54	8.11	3.57%	7.15%	10.72%	6.96	7.69
Tm, ppm	< 0.1	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
U, ppm	3.45	0.248	2.96	3.95	2.71	4.19	7.18%	14.35%	21.53%	3.28	3.62
V, ppm	6.25	1.99	2.26	10.23	0.27	12.22	31.89%	63.79%	95.68%	5.93	6.56
W, ppm	5.43	0.93	3.57	7.29	2.64	8.23	17.15%	34.30%	51.44%	5.16	5.70
Y, ppm	3.78	0.57	2.63	4.93	2.06	5.50	15.19%	30.38%	45.57%	3.59	3.97
Yb, ppm	0.39	0.05	0.30	0.49	0.25	0.54	12.19%	24.39%	36.58%	0.37	0.41
Zn, wt. %	19.97	0.362	19.24	20.69	18.88	21.05	1.81%	3.62%	5.43%	18.97	20.97
Zr, ppm	20.8	1.65	17.5	24.1	15.9	25.8	7.94%	15.88%	23.83%	19.8	21.8
Infrared Combustion											
S, wt. %	29.31	0.604	28.10	30.52	27.50	31.12	2.06%	4.12%	6.18%	27.84	30.78

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million) \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt. % \equiv 1000 ppb (parts per billion).

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	6
SOURCE MATERIAL.....	6
PERFORMANCE GATES	6
COMMUNITION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES	7
ANALYTICAL PROGRAM.....	7
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	9
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.....	9
Homogeneity Evaluation	12
PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES.....	14
PREPARER AND SUPPLIER.....	15
METROLOGICAL TRACEABILITY	15
COMMUTABILITY	19
INTENDED USE	19
HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS.....	19
STABILITY AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS	19
INSTRUCTIONS FOR CORRECT USE.....	19
DOCUMENT HISTORY	20
QMS CERTIFICATION	20
CERTIFYING OFFICER.....	20
REFERENCES	21

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Certified Values and Performance Gates for OREAS 990b.....	1
Table 2. Indicative Values for OREAS 990b.....	8
Table 3. Physical properties of OREAS 990b.....	9
Table 4. 95% Confidence & Tolerance Limits for OREAS 990b.....	10
Table 5. Neutron Activation Analysis of Au (in ppm) on 20 x 85mg subsamples.....	13

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Cu by classical wet chemistry in OREAS 990b.....	16
Figure 2. Ag by fire assay (full corrections) in OREAS 990b.....	17
Figure 3. Au by fire assay (full corrections) in OREAS 990b.....	18

INTRODUCTION

OREAS reference materials are intended to provide a low-cost method of evaluating and improving the quality of analysis of geological and sulphide concentrate samples. To the geologist they provide a means of implementing quality control in analytical data sets generated in exploration from the grass roots level through to prospect evaluation, and in grade control at mining operations. To the analyst they provide an effective means of calibrating analytical equipment, assessing new techniques and routinely monitoring in-house procedures. OREAS reference materials enable users to successfully achieve process control of these tasks because the observed variance from repeated analysis has its origin almost exclusively in the analytical process rather than the reference material itself.

SOURCE MATERIAL

OREAS 990b is a copper-gold-silver concentrate certified reference material (CRM) prepared and certified by Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd. The material constituting OREAS 990b was sourced from the Rosebery metallurgical plant owned and operated by MMG Ltd. The Rosebery mine and plant are located in the north-west region of Tasmania, Australia approximately 300 kilometres north-west of Hobart and 125 kilometres south of Burnie. The key minerals of economic importance include sphalerite, galena, pyrite, chalcopyrite and electrum.

PERFORMANCE GATES

The standard deviations (SD's) reported in Table 1 provide an indication of a level of performance that might reasonably be expected from a laboratory being monitored by this CRM in a QA/QC program. They take into account errors attributable to measurement uncertainty and CRM variability. For an effective CRM the contribution of the latter should be negligible in comparison to measurement errors (see 'Homogeneity Evaluation' for verification of OREAS 990b's high level of homogeneity).

Table 1 above shows intervals calculated for two and three standard deviations. As a guide these intervals may be regarded as warning or rejection for multiple 2SD outliers, or rejection for individual 3SD outliers in QC monitoring, although their precise application should be at the discretion of the QC manager concerned (also see 'Intended Use' section below). Westgard Rules extend the basics of single-rule QC monitoring using multi-rules (for more information visit www.westgard.com/mltirule.htm). A second method utilises a 5% window calculated directly from the certified value. For information on the calculation of standard deviations see the 'Statistical Analysis' section below.

Standard deviation is also shown in relative percent for one, two and three relative standard deviations (1RSD, 2RSD and 3RSD) to facilitate an appreciation of the magnitude of these numbers and a comparison with the 5% window. Caution should be exercised when concentration levels approach lower limits of detection of the analytical methods employed as performance gates calculated from standard deviations tend to be excessively wide whereas those determined by the 5% method are too narrow. One approach used at commercial laboratories is to set the acceptance criteria at twice the detection level (DL) \pm 10%.

i.e. Certified Value \pm 10% \pm 2DL (adapted from Govett, 1983).

COMMINUTION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES

The material constituting OREAS 990b was prepared in the following manner:

- Drying of ore materials to constant mass at 85°C;
- Multi-stage milling to 100% minus 30 microns;
- Homogenisation;
- Packaging into 10g and 50g units sealed under nitrogen in laminated foil pouches.

ANALYTICAL PROGRAM

For the interlaboratory 'round robin' certification program, samples were taken at 10 predetermined sampling intervals immediately following homogenisation and are considered representative of the entire prepared batch of OREAS 990b.

Umpire Laboratories

Fifteen 'umpire' laboratories each received a single 130g sample and undertook copper, gold, silver and moisture analysis on the sample as received. The term 'umpire' here refers to the routine analysis by these laboratories using classical methodologies for precious and base metals.

Strict, pre-assay instructions were provided to ensure proper handling of moisture including:

- Equilibration of sample material to laboratory atmosphere for a minimum of 2 hours;
- Hygroscopic moisture analysis at 105°C determined on a separate subsample and weighed for analysis at the same time as the sample aliquots for Au, Cu and Ag as per ISO 9599.

The laboratories were requested to report analyte concentrations on both a dry (using the moisture value to correct the sample to dry basis) and moisture-bearing basis and include all results for moisture determinations. **The 'Umpire Lab' certified values shown in Table 1 are on a dry sample basis (see 'Instructions for correct use' section).**

The following analytical methods were undertaken:

- Copper (3 trials on undried sample) by classical wet chemistry (predominantly by short iodide titration with one laboratory employing electrogravimetry and one laboratory used a potentiometric titration);
- Gold and Silver (3 trials on undried sample) by reduced charge weight (1-30g) fire assay with gravimetric finish and full corrections for slag, cupel and silver losses.

Geoanalytical Laboratories

Fifteen geoanalytical laboratories also participated in the analytical program for OREAS 990b. Each laboratory was sent 6 x 30g samples to undertake the following:

- Full elemental suites by peroxide fusion with ICP-OES and MS finish (up to 14 laboratories depending on the element);
- Full elemental suites by 4-acid digestion with ICP-OES and MS finish (up to 15 laboratories depending on the element);

- Total S by IR combustion furnace (15 laboratories);
- Gold by instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) on 20 x 85mg subsamples to confirm homogeneity (undertaken by ANSTO, Lucas Heights).

Table 1 provides performance gate intervals for the certified values and Table 2 shows indicative values. Table 3 provides some indicative physical properties, Table 4 presents the 95% confidence and tolerance limits for all certified values and gold homogeneity (via INAA) is shown in Table 5. Gold homogeneity is also demonstrated by a nested ANOVA program (see 'Homogeneity Evaluation' section).

Table 2. Indicative Values for OREAS 990b.

Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value
Acid Leach								
Sb	wt.%	1.99						
Thermogravimetry								
H ₂ O-	wt.%	0.180						
Peroxide Fusion ICP								
Ag	ppm	7270	Ho	ppm	0.16	Ta	ppm	< 0.1
B	ppm	34.3	Li	ppm	2.40	Tb	ppm	0.17
Ba	ppm	13569	Lu	ppm	< 0.2	Tm	ppm	0.071
Co	ppm	3.09	Nb	ppm	< 5	V	ppm	< 10
Gd	ppm	0.98	Re	ppm	< 0.1	Yb	ppm	0.47
Ge	ppm	4.01	Sc	ppm	< 10	Zr	ppm	26.2
Hf	ppm	0.77	Sm	ppm	1.44			
4-Acid Digestion								
Ag	ppm	6855	Ho	ppm	0.13	Se	ppm	6.75
Ba	ppm	114	La	ppm	1.99	Sr	ppm	59
Ce	ppm	6.60	Lu	ppm	0.063	Ta	ppm	< 0.1
Cr	ppm	6.63	P	wt.%	0.005	Th	ppm	1.41
Ge	ppm	0.26	S	wt.%	28.41			
Infrared Combustion								
C	wt.%	0.173						

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million) \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb (parts per billion).

Note: the number of significant figures reported is not a reflection of the level of certainty of stated values. They are instead an artefact of ORE's in-house CRM-specific LIMS.

Comparisons of inter-laboratory bias and precision are graphically presented in scatter plots for copper, silver and gold by the umpire laboratories (Figures 1, 2 and 3) together with $\pm 3SD$ (magenta) and certified value (green line). Accepted individual results are coloured blue and individual and dataset outliers are identified in red and violet, respectively.

Gold homogeneity has been evaluated and confirmed by INAA on 20 x 85 milligram subsamples. Tabulated results of all elements (including Au INAA analyses) together with analytical method codes, uncorrected means, medians, standard deviations, relative standard deviations and per cent deviation of lab means from the corrected mean of means (PDM³) are presented in an Excel-compatible file for this CRM (**OREAS 990b-DataPack.1.0.200902_135436.xlsx**).

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

OREAS 990b was tested at ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd's onsite laboratory for various physical properties. Table 3 presents these findings which should be used for informational purposes only.

Table 3. Physical properties of OREAS 990b.

CRM Name	Bulk Density (g/L)	Moisture%	Munsell Notation‡	Munsell Color‡
OREAS 990b	816	0.54	N3	Dark Gray

‡The Munsell Rock Color Chart helps geologists and archeologists communicate with color more effectively by cross-referencing ISCC-NBS color names with unique Munsell alpha-numeric color notations for rock color samples.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Standard Deviation intervals (see Table 1) provide an indication of a level of performance that might reasonably be expected from a laboratory being monitored by this CRM in a QA/QC program. They take into account errors attributable to measurement uncertainty and CRM variability. For an effective CRM the contribution of the latter should be negligible in comparison to measurement errors. The Standard Deviation values include all sources of measurement uncertainty: between-lab variance, within-run variance (precision errors) and CRM variability.

In the application of SD's in monitoring performance it is important to note that not all laboratories function at the same level of proficiency and that different methods in use at a particular laboratory have differing levels of precision. Each laboratory has its own inherent SD (for a specific concentration level and analyte-method pair) based on the analytical process and this SD is not directly related to the round robin program (see Intended Use section for more detail).

The SD for each analyte's certified value is calculated from the same filtered data set used to determine the certified value, i.e. after removal of all individual, lab dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration). These outliers can only be removed after the absolute homogeneity of the CRM has been independently established, i.e. the outliers must be confidently deemed to be analytical rather than arising from inhomogeneity of the CRM. ***The standard deviation is then calculated for each analyte from the pooled accepted analyses generated from the certification program.***

Certified Values, Standard Deviations, Confidence Limits and Tolerance Limits (Table 4) have been determined for each analyte following removal of individual, laboratory dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration).

For individual outliers within a laboratory batch the z-score test is used in combination with a second method that determines the per cent deviation of the individual value from the batch median. Outliers in general are selected on the basis of z-scores > 2.5 and with per cent deviations (i) > 3 and (ii) more than three times the average absolute per cent deviation for the batch. In certain instances, statistician's prerogative has been employed in discriminating outliers.

Each laboratory data set mean is tested for outlying status based on z-score discrimination and rejected if > 2.5 . After individual and laboratory data set (batch) outliers have been

eliminated a non-iterative 3 standard deviation filter is applied, with those values lying outside this window also relegated to outlying status.

Certified Values are the means of accepted laboratory means after outlier filtering. The INAA data (see Table 5) is omitted from determination of the certified value for Au and is used solely for the calculation of Tolerance Limits and homogeneity evaluation of OREAS 990b (see 'Homogeneity Evaluation' section below).

95% Confidence Limits are inversely proportional to the number of participating laboratories and inter-laboratory agreement. It is a measure of the reliability of the certified value. A 95% confidence interval indicates a 95% probability that the true value of the analyte under consideration lies between the upper and lower limits. **95% Confidence Limits should not be used as control limits for laboratory performance.**

Indicative (uncertified) values (Table 2) are present where the number of laboratories reporting a particular analyte is insufficient (< 5) to support certification or where inter-laboratory consensus is poor.

Table 4. 95% Confidence & Tolerance Limits for OREAS 990b.

Constituent	Certified Value	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
		Low	High	Low	High
Classical Wet Chemistry					
Cu, Copper (wt.%)	16.37	16.30	16.44	16.31	16.43
Pb Fire Assay (Grav)					
Ag, Silver (ppm)	6741	6708	6774	6705	6776
Au, Gold (ppm)	63.67	63.15	64.19	63.42*	63.93*
Peroxide Fusion ICP					
Al, Aluminium (wt.%)	0.576	0.572	0.581	0.560	0.593
As, Arsenic (ppm)	7797	7469	8125	7632	7962
Be, Beryllium (ppm)	< 1	IND	IND	IND	IND
Bi, Bismuth (ppm)	49.7	47.4	52.0	47.8	51.5
Ca, Calcium (wt.%)	0.166	0.149	0.183	IND	IND
Cd, Cadmium (ppm)	580	552	608	570	590
Ce, Cerium (ppm)	12.8	12.2	13.4	12.0	13.6
Cr, Chromium (ppm)	< 100	IND	IND	IND	IND
Cs, Caesium (ppm)	1.34	1.25	1.44	IND	IND
Cu, Copper (wt.%)	16.46	16.08	16.84	16.14	16.78
Dy, Dysprosium (ppm)	0.93	0.86	1.00	IND	IND
Er, Erbium (ppm)	0.47	0.44	0.50	IND	IND
Eu, Europium (ppm)	0.64	0.58	0.71	IND	IND
Fe, Iron (wt.%)	14.78	14.55	15.00	14.49	15.06
Ga, Gallium (ppm)	5.03	4.85	5.21	4.57	5.49
In, Indium (ppm)	8.04	7.41	8.67	7.52	8.56
K, Potassium (wt.%)	0.207	0.196	0.217	0.192	0.221
La, Lanthanum (ppm)	7.25	7.15	7.36	IND	IND
Mg, Magnesium (wt.%)	0.128	0.124	0.133	IND	IND

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

*Gold Tolerance Limits for typical 15g fire assay methods are determined from 20 x 85mg INAA results and the Sampling Constant (Ingamells & Switzer, 1973).

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Table 4 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
		Low	High	Low	High
Peroxide Fusion ICP continued					
Mn, Manganese (wt.%)	0.231	0.226	0.236	0.225	0.236
Mo, Molybdenum (ppm)	139	131	147	135	144
Nd, Neodymium (ppm)	5.61	5.30	5.91	IND	IND
Ni, Nickel (ppm)	< 50	IND	IND	IND	IND
P, Phosphorus (wt.%)	< 0.01	IND	IND	IND	IND
Pb, Lead (wt.%)	8.32	8.22	8.42	8.19	8.45
Pr, Praseodymium (ppm)	1.48	1.41	1.55	IND	IND
Rb, Rubidium (ppm)	14.5	13.9	15.1	13.8	15.3
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	29.11	28.74	29.48	28.53	29.69
Sb, Antimony (wt.%)	2.00	1.83	2.17	1.92	2.07
Se, Selenium (ppm)	< 20	IND	IND	IND	IND
Si, Silicon (wt.%)	1.74	1.71	1.77	1.69	1.79
Sn, Tin (ppm)	7.75	6.23	9.26	IND	IND
Sr, Strontium (ppm)	133	125	141	129	137
Te, Tellurium (ppm)	< 1	IND	IND	IND	IND
Th, Thorium (ppm)	3.00	2.90	3.09	2.78	3.22
Ti, Titanium (wt.%)	0.029	0.028	0.031	IND	IND
Tl, Thallium (ppm)	7.28	6.96	7.61	6.88	7.68
U, Uranium (ppm)	3.48	3.32	3.63	3.29	3.66
W, Tungsten (ppm)	6.18	4.81	7.55	IND	IND
Y, Yttrium (ppm)	4.65	4.38	4.91	4.30	5.00
Zn, Zinc (wt.%)	19.81	19.44	20.18	19.42	20.20
4-Acid Digestion					
Al, Aluminium (wt.%)	0.558	0.544	0.573	0.543	0.573
As, Arsenic (ppm)	7663	7318	8008	7456	7870
Be, Beryllium (ppm)	0.22	0.19	0.25	IND	IND
Bi, Bismuth (ppm)	50	49	51	49	51
Ca, Calcium (wt.%)	0.165	0.160	0.169	0.160	0.169
Cd, Cadmium (ppm)	570	558	582	560	580
Co, Cobalt (ppm)	2.67	2.56	2.78	2.51	2.83
Cs, Caesium (ppm)	1.19	1.13	1.24	1.11	1.27
Cu, Copper (wt.%)	16.71	16.30	17.12	16.45	16.97
Dy, Dysprosium (ppm)	0.73	0.66	0.80	IND	IND
Er, Erbium (ppm)	0.39	0.34	0.45	IND	IND
Eu, Europium (ppm)	0.56	0.45	0.66	IND	IND
Fe, Iron (wt.%)	14.45	14.31	14.59	14.22	14.68
Ga, Gallium (ppm)	4.75	4.40	5.10	4.54	4.95
Gd, Gadolinium (ppm)	0.90	0.79	1.00	IND	IND
Hf, Hafnium (ppm)	0.62	0.60	0.65	IND	IND
In, Indium (ppm)	7.68	7.51	7.86	7.46	7.91
K, Potassium (wt.%)	0.216	0.211	0.221	0.211	0.222

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Table 4 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
		Low	High	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued					
Li, Lithium (ppm)	2.19	2.00	2.37	1.91	2.47
Mg, Magnesium (wt.%)	0.124	0.121	0.128	0.122	0.127
Mn, Manganese (wt.%)	0.228	0.224	0.232	0.224	0.231
Mo, Molybdenum (ppm)	131	125	136	127	134
Na, Sodium (wt.%)	0.031	0.029	0.033	IND	IND
Nb, Niobium (ppm)	0.89	0.79	1.00	IND	IND
Nd, Neodymium (ppm)	4.49	3.82	5.16	4.21	4.77
Ni, Nickel (ppm)	6.11	5.43	6.79	5.63	6.59
Pb, Lead (wt.%)	8.45	8.36	8.54	8.34	8.56
Pr, Praseodymium (ppm)	1.08	0.83	1.32	IND	IND
Rb, Rubidium (ppm)	13.9	13.4	14.4	13.5	14.3
Re, Rhenium (ppm)	0.006	0.005	0.008	IND	IND
Sb, Antimony (wt.%)	1.89	1.82	1.96	1.86	1.92
Sc, Scandium (ppm)	0.86	0.74	0.98	IND	IND
Sm, Samarium (ppm)	1.10	0.97	1.23	IND	IND
Sn, Tin (ppm)	5.51	5.19	5.84	5.18	5.85
Tb, Terbium (ppm)	0.12	0.11	0.14	IND	IND
Te, Tellurium (ppm)	< 0.5	IND	IND	IND	IND
Ti, Titanium (wt.%)	0.024	0.022	0.026	0.023	0.025
Tl, Thallium (ppm)	7.32	7.15	7.50	7.12	7.53
Tm, Thulium (ppm)	< 0.1	IND	IND	IND	IND
U, Uranium (ppm)	3.45	3.30	3.60	3.31	3.59
V, Vanadium (ppm)	6.25	4.82	7.68	IND	IND
W, Tungsten (ppm)	5.43	4.71	6.15	5.14	5.72
Y, Yttrium (ppm)	3.78	3.43	4.13	3.64	3.92
Yb, Ytterbium (ppm)	0.39	0.35	0.44	IND	IND
Zn, Zinc (wt.%)	19.97	19.74	20.20	19.70	20.24
Zr, Zirconium (ppm)	20.8	19.8	21.8	20.1	21.6
Infrared Combustion					
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	29.31	28.97	29.65	28.98	29.63

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million \equiv mg/kg \equiv μ g/g \equiv 0.0001 wt.% \equiv 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Homogeneity Evaluation

The tolerance limits (ISO 16269:2014) shown in Table 1 were determined using an analysis of precision errors method and are considered a conservative estimate of true homogeneity. The meaning of tolerance limits may be illustrated for copper by classical wet chemistry, where 99% of the time ($1-\alpha=0.99$) at least 95% of subsamples ($\rho=0.95$) will have concentrations lying between 16.31 and 16.43 wt.%. Put more precisely, this means that if the same number of subsamples were taken and analysed in the same manner repeatedly, 99% of the tolerance intervals so constructed would cover at least 95% of the total population, and 1% of the tolerance intervals would cover less than 95% of the total population (ISO Guide 35). **Please note that tolerance limits pertain to the homogeneity of the CRM only and should not be used as control limits for laboratory performance.**

Table 5 below shows the INAA data determined on 20 x 85mg subsamples of OREAS 990b. An equivalent scaled version of the results is also provided to demonstrate an appreciation of what this data means if 15g fire assay determinations were undertaken without the normal measurement error associated with this methodology.

Table 5. Neutron Activation Analysis of Au (in ppm) on 20 x 85mg subsamples and showing the equivalent results scaled to a 15g sample mass typical of fire assay determination.

Replicate No	Au 85mg actual	Au 15g equivalent*
1	63.16	64.10
2	64.08	64.17
3	64.60	64.21
4	64.92	64.23
5	64.44	64.20
6	63.62	64.14
7	63.78	64.15
8	62.55	64.05
9	63.11	64.10
10	64.77	64.22
11	63.47	64.12
12	65.22	64.26
13	64.45	64.20
14	64.03	64.17
15	67.55	64.43
16	64.77	64.22
17	64.40	64.19
18	62.89	64.08
19	64.04	64.17
20	63.70	64.14
Mean	64.18	64.18
Median	64.06	64.17
Std Dev.	1.07	0.081
Rel.Std.Dev.	1.67%	0.126%

*Results calculated for a 15g equivalent sample mass using the formula: $x^{15g Eq} = \frac{(x^{INAA} - \bar{X}) \times RSD@15g}{RSD@85mg} + \bar{X}$

where $x^{15g Eq}$ = equivalent result calculated for a 15g sample mass

(x^{INAA}) = raw INAA result at 85mg

\bar{X} = mean of 85mg INAA results

The homogeneity of gold has been determined by INAA using the reduced analytical subsample method which utilises the known relationship between standard deviation and analytical subsample weight (Ingamells and Switzer, 1973). In this approach the sample aliquot is substantially reduced to a point where most of the variability in replicate assays should be due to inhomogeneity of the reference material and measurement error becomes negligible. In this instance a subsample weight of 85 milligrams was employed and the 1RSD of 0.126% calculated for a 15g fire assay sample (1.67% at 85mg weights) confirms the high level of gold homogeneity in OREAS 990b.

Homogeneity has also been evaluated using a nested ANOVA within the data provided by the geoanalytical laboratories for elements present in concentrations that are at least 20

times the lower limit of detection. No significant p -values were found indicating that no evidence exists that between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance.

It is important to note that ANOVA is not an absolute measure of homogeneity. Rather, it establishes whether or not the analytes are distributed in a similar manner throughout the entire prepared batch of OREAS 990b and whether the variance between two subsamples from the same unit is statistically distinguishable to the variance from two subsamples taken from any two separate units. A reference material therefore, can possess poor absolute homogeneity yet still pass a relative homogeneity test if the within-unit heterogeneity is large and similar across all units.

Based on the statistical analysis of the results of the inter-laboratory certification program it can be concluded that OREAS 990b is fit-for-purpose as a certified reference material (see 'Intended Use' below).

PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

1. *Actlabs, Ancaster, Ontario, Canada
2. *AGAT Laboratories, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada
3. ♦AH Knight, Tianjin, China
4. *ALS, Perth, WA, Australia
5. ♦ALS, Ulaanbaatar, Khan-Uul District, Mongolia
6. *ALS, Vancouver, BC, Canada
7. *ANSTO, Lucas Heights, NSW, Australia
8. ♦ALS Inspection, Prescot, Merseyside, UK
9. ♦Bachelet, Angleur, Liege, Belgium
10. *Bureau Veritas Commodities Canada Ltd, Vancouver, BC, Canada
11. *Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical, Perth, WA, Australia
12. ♦Customs Central Laboratory of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, Sükhbaatar District, Mongolia
13. ♦Erdenet Central Chemical Laboratory, Erdenet, Orkhon province, Mongolia
14. *Inspectorate (BV), Lima, Peru
15. ♦Inspectorate (BV), Shanghai, Bao Shan District, China
16. ♦Inspectorate (BV), Witham, Essex, UK
17. *Intertek Genalysis, Perth, WA, Australia
18. ♦Intertek LSI, Rotterdam, Zuid-Holland, Netherlands
19. *Intertek Testing Services Philippines, Cupang, Muntinlupa, Philippines
20. ♦Labtium Oy, Kuopio, Rovaniemi, Finland
21. *MinAnalytical Services, Perth, WA, Australia
22. *MSALABS, Vancouver, BC, Canada
23. *Nagrom, Perth, WA, Australia
24. *PT Geoservices Ltd, Cikarang, Jakarta Raya, Indonesia
25. ♦RC Inspection, Rotterdam, Netherlands
26. ♦RC Inspection, Ulaanbaatar, Khan-Uul District, Mongolia
27. *Saskatchewan Research Council, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada
28. *SGS Australia Mineral Services, Perth, WA, Australia

29. ♦SGS Lakefield Research Ltd, Lakefield, Ontario, Canada
30. ♦SGS Nederland B.V., Spijkenisse, Zuid-Holland, Netherlands
31. ♦SRL, Perth, WA, Australia

♦ = Umpire laboratory (classical methods); * = Geoanalytical laboratory (instrumental methods).

Please note: Above numbered alphabetical list of participating laboratories does not reflect the Lab ID numbering on the scatter plots below.

PREPARER AND SUPPLIER

Certified reference material OREAS 990b was prepared, certified and supplied by:



ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd
37A Hosie Street
Bayswater North VIC 3153
AUSTRALIA

Tel: +613-9729 0333
Fax: +613-9729 8338
Web: www.ore.com.au
Email: info@ore.com.au

METROLOGICAL TRACEABILITY

The analytical samples were selected in a manner representative of the entire batch of the prepared CRM. This 'representivity' was maintained in each submitted laboratory sample batch and ensures the user that the data is traceable from sample selection through to the analytical results that underlie the consensus values. Each analytical data set has been validated by its assayer through the inclusion of internal reference materials and QC checks during analysis.

The laboratories were chosen on the basis of their competence (from past performance in inter-laboratory programs undertaken by ORE Pty Ltd) for a particular analytical method, analyte or analyte suite and sample matrix. Most of these laboratories have and maintain ISO 17025 accreditation. The certified values presented in this report are calculated from the means of accepted data following robust statistical treatment, as detailed in this report.

Guide ISO/TR 16476:2016, section 5.3.1 describes metrological traceability in reference materials as it pertains to the transformation of the measurand. In this section it states, *“Although the determination of the property value itself can be made traceable to appropriate units through, for example, calibration of the measurement equipment used, steps like the transformation of the sample from one physical (chemical) state to another cannot. Such transformations may only be compared with a reference (when available), or among themselves. For some transformations, reference methods have been defined and may be used in certification projects to evaluate the uncertainty associated with such a transformation. In other cases, only a comparison among different laboratories using the same procedure is possible. In this case, it is impossible to demonstrate absence of method bias; therefore, the result is an operationally defined measurand (ISO Guide 35:2017, 9.2.4c).”* Certification takes place on the basis of agreement among operationally defined, independent measurement results.

Figure 1. Cu by Classical in OREAS 990b

SPC.1514.OREAS Cu conc Series.OREAS 990b.4.Classical.Cu.Lab.200903.010938.SN




Figure 2. Ag by Fire Assay (Grav) in OREAS 990b

SPC.1514.OREAS Cu conc Series.OREAS 990b.4.Fire Assay Grav.Ag.Lab.200903.011202.SS





Figure 3. Au by Fire Assay (Grav) in OREAS 990b

SPC.1514.OREAS Cu conc Series.OREAS 990b.4.Fire Assay Grav.Au.Lab.200903.011330.SN



COMMUTABILITY

The measurements of the results that underlie the certified values contained in this report were undertaken by methods involving pre-treatment (digestion/fusion) of the sample. This served to reduce the sample to a simple and well understood form permitting calibration using simple solutions of the CRM. Due to these methods being well understood and highly effective, commutability is not an issue for this CRM. All OREAS CRMs are sourced from natural ore/concentrate samples meaning they will display similar behaviour as routine 'field' samples in the relevant measurement process. Care should be taken to ensure 'matrix matching' as close as practically achievable. The matrix and mineralisation style of the CRM is described in the 'Source Material' section and users should select appropriate CRMs matching these attributes to their field samples.

INTENDED USE

OREAS 990b is intended to cover all activities needed to produce a measurement result. This includes extraction, possible separation steps and the actual measurement process (the signal producing step). OREAS 990b may be used to calibrate the entire procedure by producing a pure substance CRM transformed into a calibration solution.

OREAS 990b is intended for the following uses:

- For the monitoring of laboratory performance in the analysis of analytes reported in Table 1 in sulphide concentrate samples;
- For the verification of analytical methods for analytes reported in Table 1;
- For the calibration of instruments used in the determination of the concentration of analytes reported in Table 1.

HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS

Fine powders pose a risk to eyes and lungs and therefore standard precautions including the use of safety glasses and dust masks are advised. Sulphur is a known transitory upper respiratory irritant. Close exposure may cause coughing or throat irritation.

STABILITY AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS

OREAS 990b was prepared from sulphide bearing concentrate samples. To ensure a long shelf life it has been sealed under nitrogen in robust laminated foil pouches. In its unopened state under normal conditions of storage it has a shelf life beyond five years.

**normal storage conditions: not in direct sunlight in a dry, clean, well ventilated area at temperatures between -10° and 50°C.*

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CORRECT USE

The 'umpire lab' Cu, Au and Ag certified values for OREAS 990b refer to the concentration levels on a dry sample basis. All analyses were performed on the samples as received after equilibration with the laboratory atmosphere for a minimum of 2 hours and hygroscopic

moisture analysis at 105°C determined on a separate subsample and weighed for analysis at the same time as the sample aliquots for Cu, Au and Ag as per ISO 9599. The results were then corrected to dry basis using the moisture value. Moisture content varied amongst the laboratories between 0.025 and 0.47 wt.% but with an average of 0.18 wt.%.

The 'geoanalytical lab' certified values for OREAS 990b are on a 'sample as received' basis. The CRM should not be dried prior to analysis.

QC monitoring using multiples of the Standard Deviation (SD)

In the application of SD's in monitoring performance it is important to note that not all laboratories function at the same level of proficiency and that different methods in use at a particular laboratory have differing levels of precision. Each laboratory has its own inherent SD (for a specific concentration level and analyte-method pair) based on the analytical process and this SD is not directly related to the round robin program.

The majority of data generated in the round robin program was produced by a selection of world class laboratories. The SD's thus generated are more constrained than those that would be produced across a randomly selected group of laboratories. This 'one size fits all' approach may require revision at the discretion of the QC manager concerned following careful scrutiny of QC control charts.

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Revision No.	Date	Changes applied
0	3 rd Sep, 2020	First publication.

QMS CERTIFICATION

ORE Pty Ltd is ISO 9001:2015 certified by Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd for its quality management system including development, manufacturing, certification and supply of CRMs.



CERTIFYING OFFICER

3rd September, 2020

Craig Hamlyn (B.Sc. Hons - Geology), Technical Manager - ORE P/L

REFERENCES

Govett, G.J.S. (1983). Handbook of Exploration Geochemistry, Volume 2: Statistics and Data Analysis in Geochemical Prospecting (Variations of accuracy and precision).

Ingamells, C. O. and Switzer, P. (1973). Talanta 20, 547-568.

ISO Guide 30:2015. Terms and definitions used in connection with reference materials.

ISO Guide 31:2015. Reference materials – Contents of certificates and labels.

ISO Guide 35:2017. Certification of reference materials - General and statistical principals.

ISO 16269:2014. Statistical interpretation of data – Part 6: Determination of statistical tolerance intervals.

ISO/TR 16476:2016, Reference Materials – Establishing and expressing metrological traceability of quantity values assigned to reference materials.

Munsell Rock Color Book (2014). Rock-Color Chart Committee, Geological Society of America (GSA), 4300 44th Street SE, Grand Rapids, MI 49512.